FEB 13 THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re the Application of: Ryoichi KAWADA et al.

Group Art Unit: 2621

Application No.: 10/620,350

Examiner: Tung T. Vo

Filed: July 17, 2003

Confirmation No.: 8925

For:

IMAGE MATCHING DEVICE AND METHOD FOR MOTION

PICTURES

Attorney Docket Number:

030838

Customer Number:

38834

RESPONSE TO ELECTION OF SPECIES REQUIREMENT

Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 February 13, 2007

Sir:

This paper is submitted in response to the Official Action dated January 12, 2007.

Applicants hereby elect to prosecute the Species I (Claims 1, 3 and 5). This election is made with traverse. It is respectfully submitted that a thorough search and examination of species I would also encompass the search and examination of Species II and III. As such, it is believed that the search and examination of Species I-III can be made without serious burden. See MPEP §803 which states that "[i]f the search and examination of all the claims in an application can be made without serious burden, the Examiner must examine them on the merits, even though they include claims to independent or distinct inventions". (emphasis added). It is requested that this policy apply to the present application to avoid duplicative examination by the Examiner and undue cost to applicants.

Application No. 10/620,350 Art Unit 2621



Response to Election of Species Requirement Attorney Docket No. 030838

If this paper is not timely filed, Applicants respectfully petition for an appropriate extension of time. If any additional fees are due in connection with this paper, please charge Deposit Account No. 50-2866.

Respectfully submitted,

WESTERMAN, HATTORI, DANIELS & ADRIAN, LLP

Stephen G. Adrian
Attorney for Applicants

Registration No. 32,878

Telephone: (202) 822-1100 Facsimile: (202) 822-1111

SGA/arf